### Instructor course sections

### SIRS SUMMARY REPORT FOR: MTH 132 016 (TERM: FS16)

• Instructor: SEBASTIAN I TRONCOSO

· Number of students enrolled: 33

• Number of replies: 27

• Date generated: 1/18/2017 6:14:20 PM

Show Form Questions (opens in a new window)

#### SOCT

In addition to results from SIRS Online surveys, the SOCT survey (Students' Opinion of Courses and Teaching) results are also available. SOCT is a brief, optional survey that students can take. Students, faculty and the MSU community can view results from this survey at soct.msu.edu.

|  |       |       |       |       |       | OMIT  | MEAN | STD.<br>Deviatio |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------------------|
| CUSTOM QUESTIONS   | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7    | 8                |
| Was the instructor well-prepared for class?  | 84.6% | 15.3% | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 3.70% | 1.15 | 0.36             |
| How often does the instructor arrive to class on time?   | 96,2% | 3.70% | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 1.03 | 0.18             |
| The instructor provided timely feedback (graded onework, quizzes, exams, etc.).  | 61.5% | 34.6% | 3.84% | 0%    | 0%    | 3.70% | 1,42 | 0.56             |
| Evaluate your instructor's willingness to help outside of lass (office hours, email, etc.).  | 81.4% | 18.5% | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 1.18 | 0.38             |
| How often did you attend the class taught by this structor?  | 92.5% | 3.70% | 0%    | 3.70% | 0%    | 0%    | 1.14 | 0.59             |
| How interesting did you find the course material?  | 44,4% | 18.5% | 29.6% | 7.40% | 0%    | 0%    | 2    | 1.01             |
| Evaluate this instructor's ability to explain the course ontent.   | 66.6% | 18.5% | 11.1% | 3.70% | 0%    | 0%    | 1,51 | 0.83             |
| Evaluate the instructor's ability to make the course imulating and engaging.   | 66.6% | 22.2% | 7.40% | 3.70% | 0%    | 0%    | 1.48 | 0.78             |
| Evaluate your instructor's ability to encourage questions and answer them effectively.   | 85.1% | 7.40% | 3.70% | 3.70% | 0%    | 0%    | 1.25 | 0.69             |
| Evaluate the effectiveness of this class in increasing<br>our mathematical competency.   | 59.2% | 25.9% | 7.40% | 0%    | 7.40% | 0%    | 1.70 | 1,11             |
| I Evaluate the appropriateness of the pace at which the<br>structor covered the material.  | 66.6% | 25,9% | 3.70% | 3,70% | 0%    | 0%    | 1.44 | 0.73             |
| 2. Evaluate effectiveness of the homework/class signments as a learning opportunity.   | 59.2% | 22,2% | 7.40% | 7,40% | 3.70% | 0%    | 1,74 | 1.10             |
| B How often did the instructor present the material in an ganized manner?  | 70.3% | 22.2% | 7.40% | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 1,37 | 0.61             |
| Evaluate your instructor's effectiveness in mrunicating course information, including course licies, schedules, due dates, and grades. | 69.2% | 23,0% | 3.84% | 3,84% | 0%    | 3.70% | 1.42 | 0.74             |
| 5 What is your overall rating of this instructor?  | 81,4% | 11.1% | 3.70% | 3.70% | 0%    | 0%    | 1.29 | 0.71             |

### RESPONDERS' COMMENTS FOR MTH 132 016 (FS16)

Question 16: Would you recommend this instructor to another student? Comments on your classroom experience, the instructor's teaching style, and course materials are very helpful.

- Hard to understand at first due to his accent but it can not be helped and it was quick to get used to. Yes I would recommend this instructor to students because he
  makes himself very available for help outside the classroom and wants his students to know and understand the material.
- I thoroughly enjoyed the professor, he stimulated interest in class lecture as well as clearly explained material.
- I would definitely recommend this instructor to another student. He kept the class light with his positive and bubbly attitude, white maintaining a professional level of teaching. He broke down problems to the simplest terms and really helped everyone when they rose their hand at a question they did not understand. Overall he is a great instructor and i truly enjoyed his teachings.
- I would definitely recommend this instructor to anyone taking Calc one, this instructor is a very passionate and caring, as well as very proficient in teaching the
  course. If I could take a higher calc class with this instructor I would.
- · I would recommend
- I would recommend this instructor to another student. He did a great job of explaining material and helping out with any questions. He covered all the material for the exams well.
- · I would recommend this instructor to another student. He is very engaging and seems to care about his students success.
- n/a
- The amount of Webwork that is assigned is unbelievable. It is not an effective way of learning at all. It is also very time consuming, and usually about one third of the
  time or more is used just to figure out what webwork wants the answers to look like. The only thing I learned from webwork is how to fish the most points as
  possible from it. If anything, it should at least be reduced to 5% of the overall grade. Unbelievable.
- · The teacher made class very fun, and was very upbeat.
- Yes absolutely. The instructor was fun but at the same time got the material a crossed easily and efficiently.
- · Yes he is very helpful and friendly and willing to take the time to make sure you understand the material
- · Yes! He was great
- · Yes, he cared that we learned the material and always was offering to help no matter what. The classroom environment was excellent for learning.
- Yes, my instructor was fantastic and met with me for office hours three times a week to work on practice problems and pick apart what I was doing wrong in problems
- · Yes, the course work was well organized and and the instructor was always willing to help and seemed genuinely interested in the students well being in the class

# Michigan State University Department of Mathematics Teaching Assistant Evaluation Forms Spring Semester 2015

Job number 0171 TRONCOSO, SEBAS I MTH 235

|                   | Course    | Mean         | 3.65           | 3.39           | 3.64          | 3.70              | 3.40             | 3.48           |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
|                   | 5         | Std          | 0.57           | 0.71           | 0.64          | 0.51              | 0.57             | 0.64           |
|                   | Section   | N % Mean Std | 3.8 3.62 0.57  | 3.50 0.71      | 3.58 0.64     | 3.8 3.77 0.51     | 3.8 3.62 0.57    | 3.58 0.64      |
|                   | Average   | 26           | 3.8            | 3 11.5         | 7.7           | 3.8               | 3.8              | 2 7.7          |
| sasu              | Ave       | Z            | -              | n              | 2             | -                 | -                | 7              |
| odsa              | Above     | %            | 8 30:8         | 26.9           | 7 26.9        | 15.4              | 30.8             | 26.9           |
| nt F              | 97        | Z            | ∞              | 7              | 7             | 4                 | 8                | 7              |
| Student Responses | Excellent | 8            | 17 65.4        | 16 61.5 7 26.9 | 17 65.4       | 21 80.8 4 15.4    | 17 65.4 8 30.8   | 17 65.4 7 26.9 |
|                   | Exce      | z            | 17             | 16             | 17            | 21                | 17               | 17             |
|                   |           |              | 1 - Enthusiasm | 2 - Concern    | 3-Prepareduss | 4 - Understanding | 5- Communication | 6 - Excellence |

# Michigan State University Department of Mathematics Teaching Assistant Evaluation Forms Spring Semester 2015

Job number 0172 TRONCOSO, SEBAS I MTH 235

| Participation of the Control of the | Constant          | 1              | <del></del>       | -               |                   |                   | ,              |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Course  | Mean              | 3.65           | 3.39              | 3.64            | 3.70              | 3.40              | 3.48           |
|   |                   | 0.57           | 96.0              | 0.83            | 0.46              | J.74              | 0.55           |
| Section   | N % N % N W N Std | 3.61 0.57      | . I 3.6 3.39 0.96 | 3.64 0.83       | 3.71 0.46         | 3.46 0.74         | 3.68 0.55      |
| ar.   | 20                | ٠              | 3.6               | 1 3.6           | •                 | •                 | *              |
| Po  | Z                 |                |                   |                 | •                 | ,                 |                |
| ow<br>/g  | 8                 | ,              |                   | ٠               | ,                 | 3.6               | ,              |
| s<br>Below<br>Avg   | Z                 | ,              | -                 |                 | •                 | 3.6 1 3.6         | ٠              |
| r Responses Average Avg Poor  | <i>%</i>          | 1 3.6          | 3 10.7            | -               | •                 | 3.6               | 3.6            |
| Student Responses bove Average  | N                 |                | 3                 | •               | •                 | ~                 |                |
| Studer<br>Above<br>Avg  | 8                 | 32.1           | 7 25.0            | 6 21.4          | 8 28.6            | 35.7              | 25.0           |
| S 44  | Z                 | 6              | 1                 |                 |                   | 10                | 7              |
| Studes Excellent Avg  | %                 | 18 64.3 9 32.1 | 17 60.7           | 21 75.0         | 20 71.4           | 16 57.1 10 35.7   | 20 71.4 7 25.0 |
| Exce  | Z                 | 18             | 17                | 21              | 20                | 16                | 20             |
|   |                   | ı              |                   | Si              | ling              | ation             |                |
|   |                   | ısiasn         | ern               | iredin          | rstan             | nunic             | lence          |
|   |                   | 1 - Enthusiasm | 2 - Concern       | 3 - Preparednss | 4 - Understanding | 5 - Соттипісаціон | 6 - Excellence |
|   | South.            |                | Ċ,                | •               | 4                 | 'n                | •              |

# Michigan State University Department of Mathematics Teaching Assistant Evaluation Forms Fall Semester 2014

Job number 0335 TRONCOSO, SEBAS I

11 MTH 133

| Section                        | 73                       | \n .           |           |                 |                   |                   | ,              |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 5                              | vo.                      | 0.4            | 3.46 0.78 | 3.46 0.72       | 3.88 0.34         | 3.50 0.59         | 3,67 0.56      |
| Š                              | N   %   N   %   Mean Std | 3.71 0.46      |           | 3.46            | 3.88              | 3.50              | 3.67           |
| Below<br>Avg                   | %                        |                | 1 4.2     | ٠               | ٠                 |                   |                |
| Bel                            | Z                        | •              |           |                 |                   |                   | ,              |
| ponses<br>Average              | %                        | •              | 4.2       | 12.5            |                   | 4.2               | 4.2            |
| Student Responses Above Averag | Z                        | •              | 1         | 3               | ,                 |                   |                |
| udent R<br>Above<br>Avg        | 2<br>Z                   | 7 29.2         | 8 33.3    | 7 29.2          | 3 12.5            | 10 41.7           | 25.0           |
| Sfud<br>Ah                     | Z                        |                |           | L               |                   | 10                | 9              |
| Excellent                      | N G                      | 70.8           | 58-3      | 58.3            | 87.5              | 54.2              | 70.8 6 25.0    |
| Exce                           | Z                        | 17             | 14        | 14              | 21                | 13                | 17             |
|                                |                          | 1 - Enthusiasm | - Concern | 3 - Preparednss | 4 - Understanding | 5 - Communication | 6 - Excellence |