

A multitude of orders in the field \mathbb{F}_∞^*

Sebastián Troncoso [†]
Facultad de Matemáticas
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile
sitronco@uc.cl

Abstract

The field \mathbb{F}_∞ appeared in [2] in the construction of the first non-classical orthomodular space. It has a non-archimedean order. The purpose of this short paper is to show that it admits uncountable different orders, archimedean as well as non-archimedean.

1 Preliminaries

In all this paper \mathbb{Q} is the field of rational numbers, and \mathbb{R} the field of real numbers.

Definition. Following [2] we define the field \mathbb{F}_∞ in a recursive way. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be variables. Put

$$F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$$

$$F_n = F_{n-1}(X_n) \text{ para } n \geq 1.$$

$$\text{And } \mathbb{F}_\infty = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n$$

H. Keller ordered this field by \leq_0 as follows.

i) $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ has its unique order, which is induced by the order of \mathbb{R} .

ii) Suppose the order \leq_0 has been extended to F_{n-1} . We define now \leq_0 in F_n .

Let $f, g \in F_{n-1}[X_n]$, $f = a_m X_n^m + a_{m-1} X_n^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$ with $a_i \in F_{n-1}$, then

$$0 \leq_0 f \iff 0 \leq_0 a_m \text{ in } F_{n-1}$$

$$0 \leq_0 \frac{f}{g} \iff 0 \leq_0 fg$$

• Clearly this order \leq_0 in F_n is an extension of the order \leq_0 in F_{n-1}

iii) Hence (F_∞, \leq_0) is an ordered field.

*AMS clasification. Primary 12J15; Secondary 12F20. Keywords: Ordered fields, Non archimedean orders

[†]Partially supported by Fondecyt 1080194

That this is a non-archimedean order can easily be seen, since for $X_1, X_1^2 \in \mathbb{F}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $nX_1 \leq_0 X_1^2$. Even more, we have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{a) } i > k \Rightarrow X_i^j > nX_k^l$$

$$\text{b) } i = k, j > l \Rightarrow X_i^j > nX_k^l$$

We are interested in the following questions. Can this field be ordered in a non-finite number of ways? Is the same true if the orders are required to be non-archimedean?

We refer the reader to [1] for the general theory of Ordered Fields, and to [3] Ch. 19 for facts about Transcendental Extensions of Fields.

2 Non-archimedean extensions of archimedean orders in \mathbb{F}_∞

The main idea in the construction of the order \leq_0 was to give F_0 its usual order as a subfield of \mathbb{R} , and then define a non-archimedean extension of this order to F_n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But this “cut” could well be done at a higher level, that is we can order the field F_n (via isomorphism) as a subfield of \mathbb{R} and then define our unconventional order from F_{n+1} on. According to this scheme we will define the order \leq_j for any fixed but arbitrary $j \in \mathbb{N}$ in the following way.

i) We have that $F_j = \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_j)$. Consider a fixed set $\{y_n\}_1^j$ of positive real numbers, algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then (see [3] 19.5) $F_j \cong \mathbb{Q}(y_1, \dots, y_j) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore the order of \mathbb{R} induces an archimedean order \leq_j in the field F_j (and clearly in any F_i with $0 \leq i < j$).

ii) Assume that the order has been constructed up to F_{n-1} where $n-1 \geq j$. We define \leq_j in F_n in the following way.

Let $f \in F_{n-1}[X_n]$ and $f = a_m X_n^m + a_{m-1} X_n^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$ with $a_i \in F_{n-1}$ and $g \in F_{n-1}[X_n]$

$$0 \leq_j f \iff 0 \leq_j a_m \text{ in } F_{n-1}$$

$$0 \leq_j \frac{f}{g} \iff 0 \leq_j fg$$

• Therefore \leq_j in F_n is an extension of the order \leq_j in F_{n-1}

iii) Then (F_∞, \leq_j) is a non-archimedeanly ordered field.

We shall now prove that \leq_i and \leq_j are different orders whenever $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, assume that $j > i$ then there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$X_j^2 + n \leq_j 0.$$

But $0 \leq_i X_j^2 + m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ in particular $0 \leq_i X_j^2 + n$, therefore the positive cones of this orders are different, and \leq_i is not equal to \leq_j .

In this way we have constructed a countable family of different non-archimedean orders in \mathbb{F}_∞ .

2.1 Archimedean orders in $\mathbb{Q}(X_1)$

We will explicitly show that $\mathbb{Q}(X_1)$ can be given an archimedean order in uncountable different ways.

Let a be number in \mathbb{R} transcendental over \mathbb{Q} , as it is well known

$$\mathbb{Q}(X_1) \cong \mathbb{Q}(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$$

The restriction of the order of \mathbb{R} is an archimedean order in $\mathbb{Q}(a)$. It induces an order $<_a$, in $\mathbb{Q}(X_1)$ by,

$$\begin{aligned} p(X_1) \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}[X_1] &\Leftrightarrow p(a) \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \\ \frac{p(X_1)}{q(X_1)} \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}[X_1] &\Leftrightarrow p(X_1)q(X_1) \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}[X_1] \end{aligned}$$

We notice that if $a \neq b$ are transcendental numbers in \mathbb{R} , then there exists a rational number r such that

$$a < r < b \vee b < r < a$$

Assume $a < r < b$, and consider $p(X_1) = X_1 - r$

In $\mathbb{Q}(a)$

$$a < r \Rightarrow a - r < 0 \Rightarrow p(X_1) <_a 0$$

In $\mathbb{Q}(b)$

$$r < b \Rightarrow 0 < b - r \Rightarrow 0 <_b p(X_1)$$

Therefore the positive cones of the orders \leq_a and \leq_b are different. This implies that

$$\leq_a \neq \leq_b.$$

Hence we have constructed an uncountable family of archimedean orders in $\mathbb{Q}(X_1)$.

3 Back to \mathbb{F}_∞

We are now in the position to prove the existence of a non-countable family of non-archimedean orders, as well as non-countable family of archimedean orders, in \mathbb{F}_∞ .

3.1 Non-Archimedean orders

Let us put together the results of both subsections of the previous section. Each of the different orders of $F_1 = \mathbb{Q}(X_1)$ can be extended to an order \leq_1 in \mathbb{F}_∞ . Therefore we obtain an uncountable family of non-archimedean orders in \mathbb{F}_∞ .

3.2 Archimedean orders

We show now that the collection of archimedean orders in \mathbb{F}_∞ is also uncountable.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ a transcendence basis for \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} , we can assume that $S \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Choose a sequence

$$T = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < \dots$$

of elements of S . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n is algebraically independent, thus there exists a field isomorphism $\varphi_n : F_n = \mathbb{Q}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ with $\varphi_n(X_i) = t_i$ for $i = 1 \dots n$.

Let P_n be the positive cone of $\mathbb{Q}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ as a subfield of (\mathbb{R}, \leq) , then $\varphi_n^{-1}(P_n)$ is a positive cone of F_n . Hence \mathbb{F}_∞ is ordered with positive cone

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_n^{-1}(P_n).$$

This order, denoted by \leq_T is archimedean since it is induced by the archimedean order of a subfield of (\mathbb{R}, \leq) .

Since S is a non-denumerable set, we can choose a non-denumerable family of sequences of elements of S , each one ordered as in the previous paragraph, whose first elements are all different. Each one induces an archimedean order in \mathbb{F}_∞ and we contend that those orders are all different.

The proof goes along the same lines as in the case of $\mathbb{Q}(x)$.

Let $T = t_1, t_2, \dots$ and $Z = z_1, z_2, \dots$ be two sequences as above. We can assume, without loss of generality, that $t_1 < z_1$. Let r be a rational number such that $t_1 < r < z_1$

Consider $p(x_1) = x_1 - r$

In $\mathbb{Q}(T)$

$$t_1 < r \Rightarrow t_1 - r < 0. \text{ Therefore } p(x_1) <_T 0$$

In $\mathbb{Q}(Z)$

$$r < z_1 \Rightarrow 0 < z_1 - r. \text{ Therefore } 0 <_Z p(x_1)$$

Hence the positive cone of the order \leq_T is different from the positive cone of the order induced by \leq_Z . Therefore we have constructed an uncountable family of archimedean orders in \mathbb{F}_∞ .

Remark. Clearly this procedure implies that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the field F_j also admits uncountable different archimedean orders. And any of these can be extended to a non-archimedean order \leq_j as in subsection 2.1.

Hence there are really a multitude of different orders of the field \mathbb{F}_∞ .

References

- [1] Jacobson J. *Basic Algebra II*. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1989.
- [2] Keller, H. *Ein nicht-klassischer Hilbertscher Raum*. Math. Z. 172 (1980), 41-49.
- [3] Morandi, P. *Field and Galois Theory*. Springer, New York, 1996.