

## Lecture II: Completely Positive Maps and Applications

### Loewy's Weak Expectation Property and Kirchberg's Conjecture:

**Proposition:** Let  $A \subset \tilde{A}$  be an inclusion of  $C^*$ -algebras. TFAE.

(i) There exists a c.p. map  $u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow A^{**}$  such that  $u|_A = \text{id}$   $\forall a \in A$

(ii) For every  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  there exists a c.p. map  $u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \pi(A)''$  such that  $u|_A = \pi|_A$   $\forall a \in A$ .

(iii) For every  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  we have an inclusion of  $C^*$ -algebras

$$A \otimes_{\max} C \subset \tilde{A} \otimes_{\max} C$$

**Pf:** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)

Let  $u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow A^{**}$  be a c.p. map such that  $u|_A = \text{id}$   $\forall a \in A$  and let  $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a representation of  $A$ .

Consider the unique  $w(A^{**}, A^*)$ -continuous extension of  $\pi$ ,

$$\tilde{\pi}: A^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

which satisfies  $\tilde{\pi}|_A = \pi|_A$   $\forall a \in A$ , and such that

$$\tilde{\pi}(A^{**}) = \pi(A)''.$$

Thus, we consider  $\tilde{\pi} \circ u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \pi(A)''$  which satisfies  $\tilde{\pi} \circ u|_A = \pi|_A$   $\forall a \in A$  and  $\tilde{\pi} \circ u$  c.p.

Conversely if  $\forall$  representations  $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$   $\exists$  c.c.p.  $u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \pi(A)''$   
 s.t.  $u(a) = \pi(a) \forall a \in A$ , then we consider the universal  
 representation,

$$\pi_U: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_U) \Rightarrow \exists u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \pi_U(A)'' = A^{ub}$$

c.c.p. w/  $u(a) = \pi_U(a) = \iota(a) = a \quad \forall a \in A.$

Assume (iii)

(iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii):  $\forall$  but  $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a representation,  
 and let  $C := \pi(A)'$

$$\begin{array}{l} \pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \\ \sigma: \pi(A)' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \pi \\ \sigma \end{array}} \right\} \text{representations}$$

Then  $\pi, \sigma$  have commuting ranges.

$\pi \times \sigma: A \otimes C \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism which extends  
 uniquely to  $A \otimes_{\max} C$ . By the Trick  $\exists$  a c.c.p. map  
*Universal property of  $\otimes_{\max}$*

$u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow (\pi(A)')' = \pi(A)''$  extending  $\pi$  i.e.  $u(a) = \pi(a)$   
 for all  $a \in A$ .

Assume (ii): We claim  $A \otimes_{\max} B \subset \tilde{A} \otimes_{\max} B$ .

By universality of  $\otimes_{\max}$  we have a canonical  
 $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi: A \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow \tilde{A} \otimes_{\max} B.$$

We claim  $\pi$  is injective. Let  $\sigma: A \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a faithful representation, w/ restriction  $\ast$ -homomorphisms

$$\sigma_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

$$\sigma_B: B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

} existence of restrictions.

s.t.  $\sigma = \sigma_A \times \sigma_B$ .

Then  $\sigma_A$  and  $\sigma_B$  have commuting ranges. In particular  $\sigma_B(B) \subset \sigma_A(A)''$ . Consider the inclusion  $\ast$ -homomorphisms

$$\sigma_A(A)'' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

$$\sigma_B(B) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

By universality the product  $\ast$ -homomorphism extends uniquely

$$\sigma_A(A)'' \otimes_{\max} \sigma_B(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

By (ii) let  $u: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \sigma_A(A)''$  be a csp. map s.t.

$$u(a) = \sigma_A(a) \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Since both  $u$  and  $\sigma_B$  are completely positive implies

$$u \otimes \sigma_B: \tilde{A} \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow \sigma_A(A)'' \otimes_{\max} \sigma_B(B) \text{ is continuous.}$$

Recall, this follows from  
Theorem I.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A} \otimes_{\max} B & \xrightarrow{u \otimes \sigma_B} & \sigma_A(A)'' \otimes_{\max} \sigma_B(B) \\ \uparrow \# & & \downarrow \pi_1 \times \pi_2 \\ A \otimes_{\max} B & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{B}(H) \end{array}$$

Since  $\sigma$  is faithful implies the vertical map on the left is injective.

Thus (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

G.E.D.

**Definition:** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $A^{\infty}$  be its bidual. Then  $A$  has the **weak expectation property** if there exists unital completely positive extension  $\tilde{\iota}: B(H) \rightarrow A^{\infty}$  extending the canonical embedding  $\iota: A \hookrightarrow A^{\infty}$ . i.e.  $\tilde{\iota}(a) = a \ \forall a \in A, A \subset A^{\infty}$

Equivalently,  $A$  has the WEP if and only if for every inclusion  $A \subset \tilde{A}$  and  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ ,

$$A \otimes_{\max} B \subset \tilde{A} \otimes_{\max} B.$$

**Kirchberg's Conjecture:**  $C^*(F_{\infty})$  has Lance's WEP.

It follows that  $C^*(F_{\infty})$  is a test object for a  $C^*$ -algebra having WEP. In particular, a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the WEP if and only if

$$A \otimes_{\min} C^*(F_{\infty}) = A \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_{\infty})$$

Kirchberg's Conjecture false?

MIP<sup>0</sup> = RE : Zhengfeng Ji, Conrad Natanson, Thomas Vidossich, John Wright, Hongkun Yu

We make some remarks regarding the road from Connes '76 to Kirchberg '99 to Surje et al. '11 to MIP<sup>0</sup>-RE '20.

In his 1976 Annals paper Alain Connes commented that every  $\text{II}_1$  factor should embed in an ultrapower of two hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factors  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Recall,  $\mathcal{H}$  is type  $\text{II}$  if it is semifinite and there exists no nonzero abelian projections.

$\text{II}_1 =$  finite w/ no nonzero abelian projections.

In 1993 Elsworth Kirchberg discovered an equivalence to Connes' remark. In particular, Connes' remark was indeed true for card only if  $C^*(F_\infty)$  has Haagerup's WEP.

Fix  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say  $\rho := \{ \rho(a_1 x y) : x, y \in [k], a_i \in [n] \}$  is a **correlation** for  $\rho(a_1 x y) \geq 0 \forall a_i, x, y$  and  $\sum_{a_i} \rho(a_1 x y) = 1$  for each  $x, y \in [k]$ .

**Thirskson '80:** Does  $\overline{C_q(n, k)} = C_{qc}(n, k)$  for all  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
closure of the quantum correlations      quantum-commuting correlations

$\rho \in C_{qc}(n, k) \Leftrightarrow$  there exists a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , PVMs  $\{ E_{x a} \}_{a=1}^n$ ,  $\{ F_{y b} \}_{b=1}^k$  for each  $x, y \in [k]$  such that  $E_{x a} F_{y b} = F_{y b} E_{x a}$  for each  $x, y, a, b$  and

$$\rho(a_1 x y) = \langle \eta | E_{x a_1} F_{y 1} \eta \rangle$$

for each  $x, y \in [k]$ ,  $a_i, b_i \in [n]$ .

Is it finite-dimensional when  $\rho \in C_q(n, k)$ ?

What does this have to do w/ operator systems?

Theorem: Araiza-Russell '20: Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $p \in C_{qc}(n, k)$  is and only if there exists an operator system  $X$  w/ generators  $\{G(a, b, x, y) : a, b \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ , such that  $\forall x, y, \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}} G(a, b, x, y) = e, \sum_{b \in \mathbb{N}} G(a, b, x, y) =: F(a, x)$ ,

$\sum_a G(a, b, x, y) =: F(b, y)$  are well-defined, and each

$G(a, b, x, y)$  is a projection in the  $C^*$ -envelope of  $X$ , and there exists a state  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi(G(a, b, x, y)) = \varphi(F(a, x)) \varphi(F(b, y)) \quad \forall a, b, x, y.$

Sunug et al '11: Tricelsson's Problem is true iff Kirchberg's Conjecture is true.

Used operator system/operator space theory to prove equivalence.

Ji et al. '20:  $\overline{C_{qc}(n, k)} \neq C_{qc}(n, k)$  for some very large values of  $n, k$ .

In lecture I mentioned that for  $F$  is any free group that  $C^*(F)$  has the LLP. We discovered how  $C^*(F_{\infty})$  is a test object for WEP. It follows  $B(H)$  is a test object for the LLP.

**Theorem: (Kirchberg)**: Let  $F$  be any free group and let  $C^*(F)$  be the universal group  $C^*$ -algebra. Then

$$C^*(F) \otimes_{\min} B(H) = C^*(F) \otimes_{\max} B(H)$$

**Theorem: Pisier**: Let  $A_1, A_2$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\{u_i\}$  (resp.  $\{v_i\}$ ) be the unitary generators of  $A_1$  (resp.  $A_2$ ). Let

$$E_1 := \overline{\text{span}} \{ u_i : i \in I \}$$

$$E_2 := \overline{\text{span}} \{ v_i : i \in J \}$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The inclusion  $\iota: E_1 \otimes_{\min} E_2 \hookrightarrow A_1 \otimes_{\max} A_2$  is completely isometric.
- (ii)  $A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2 = A_1 \otimes_{\max} A_2$ .

Good books for more information on operator spaces and operator systems:

(1) Introduction to Operator Space Theory

- Gilles Pisier

(2) Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras

- Von Neumann

(3) Operator Spaces

- Edward Effros & Zhong-Jin Ruan